

The Road Half Traveled

University Engagement at a Crossroads

Rita Axelroth and Steve Dubb

The Democracy Collaborative
at the University of Maryland

December 2010

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>List of Figures</i> | v |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | vii |
| <i>Preface</i> | ix |
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| Section One: The Past and Present of University Engagement | 17 |
| Brief History of Universities, Community Partnerships and Economic Development | 19 |
| An Overview of Key Anchor Institution Strategies Today | 27 |
| Addressing the Challenges | 34 |
| Section Two: Anchor-Based Community Development — Three Roles | 43 |
| University as Facilitator: IUPUI, Portland State, and Miami Dade College | 45 |
| University as Leader: Penn, Cincinnati, and Yale | 69 |
| University as Convener: Syracuse, Minnesota, LeMoyne-Owen, and Emory | 96 |
| Section Three: Best Practices | 123 |
| Section Four: Envisioning the Road To Be Taken — Realizing the Anchor Institution Mission | 153 |
| Steps on the Road: Building Internal Constituencies for Partnership Work | 155 |
| Catalyzing Change with Philanthropy | 160 |
| Policy Support for the Anchor Institution Mission | 164 |
| Thinking Forward | 169 |
| Appendices | 173 |
| Appendix A: Budget Documents from Anchor Institutions Task Force | 173 |
| Appendix B: Interview Subjects and Contributors | 183 |
| Appendix C: Additional Resources | 190 |
| <i>Endnotes</i> | 197 |

List of Figures

Executive Summary

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Three Roles of Universities in Anchor-Based Community Development | 8 |
| Figure 2: Best Practices among Anchor Strategies — Select Features | 13 |
| Figure 3: Recommendations for Realizing the Anchor Institution Mission | 15 |

Section One: The Past and Present of University Engagement

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 4: Expressions of the Anchor Institution Role | 25 |
|--|----|

Section Two: Anchor-Based Community Development — Three Roles

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 5: IUPUI Anchor Strategies | 47 |
| Figure 6: Portland Sate University Anchor Strategies | 51 |
| Figure 7: Miami Dade College Anchor Strategies | 55 |
| Figure 8: Penn Anchor Strategies | 71 |
| Figure 9: Cincinnati Anchor Strategies | 76 |
| Figure 10: Yale Anchor Strategies | 80 |
| Figure 11: Syracuse Anchor Strategies | 98 |
| Figure 12: Minnesota Anchor Strategies | 102 |
| Figure 13: LeMoyne-Owen Anchor Strategies | 106 |
| Figure 14: Emory Anchor Strategies | 110 |

Section Three: Best Practices

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 15: Comprehensive Neighborhood Revitalization at Penn | 125 |
| Figure 16: Revitalization through Coalition Building at Syracuse | 127 |
| Figure 17: Leveraging Contracting Dollars at Minnesota | 129 |
| Figure 18: Local Purchasing at Penn | 130 |
| Figure 19: Community Capacity Building at Emory | 132 |
| Figure 20: Supporting Community Schools at IUPUI | 134 |
| Figure 21: Science Education Partnerships at Yale | 135 |
| Figure 22: Scholarly Engagement at IUPUI | 137 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 23: City and Regional Partnerships at Portland State | 138 |
| Figure 24: Multi-Anchor Partnerships at Cincinnati | 140 |
| Figure 25: Institutionalizing an Anchor Vision at Syracuse | 141 |
| Figure 26: Community Investment of Endowment Assets at Cincinnati | 143 |
| Figure 27: Leveraging Public and Private Dollars at LeMoyne-Owen | 145 |
| Figure 28: Building a Culture of Economic Inclusion at Miami Dade College | 147 |
| Figure 29: Sustaining Inclusive Planning and Robust Relationships at Minnesota | 149 |

Section Four: Envisioning the Road *To Be Taken* — Realizing the Anchor Institution Mission

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 30: Internal Steps to Build an Anchor Institution Mission | 157 |
| Figure 31: Building the Anchor Institution Mission through Philanthropy | 162 |
| Figure 32: Policy Measures to Support the Anchor Institution Mission | 167 |